Group 1

- Overall leadership should lie with the Vice-Chancellor and the Deans at the Faculty levels.
- However, it is felt that a Senior Academic who is widely respected and accepted by the staff and students should be at the helm of QA activities.
- He should be preferably but not necessarily be a Professor, whose academic credentials, integrity and work ethic are widely accepted by the University community.

- He should be one who appreciates the value of QA and should have actively participated in QA related activities at both University and National level.
- He should preferably be appointed by consensus of the University Community. The exact mechanism could be spelt out in a UGC circular.

- A younger academic should be identified in a similar manner to be the Secretary of the IQAU.
- At faculty levels two academics, one senior and one junior should be identified to coordinate QA activities.
- The post of the Chairman/IQAU should be statutorily recognized by a suitable circular from the UGC in order to give authority and recognition. However in order to maintain its stature we feel that it need not be financially remunerated. However, it could be included in his work norms.

 In order to get student co-operation it is suggested to have a QA cell for students at faculty level and one representative from these should come to the IQAU.

Thank you!!